

Message

From: Donovan, Betsy [Donovan.Betsy@epa.gov]
Sent: 5/24/2018 7:20:14 PM
To: Fajardo, Juan [Fajardo.Juan@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: rolling knolls question

Neither Michael Sivak (EPA Human Health Risk Assessor) or Tanya Mitchell (previous RPM) have any recollection or information indicating the FWS reviewed the HHRA. I also checked the paper file I inherited from Tanya and don't see any FWS review. I took a look at the HHRA and the hiker scenario was not deemed a complete exposure pathway (for various reasons as described in the Group's response to DOI's comments) and therefore it was not evaluated.

From: Fajardo, Juan
Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2018 4:51 PM
To: Donovan, Betsy <Donovan.Betsy@epa.gov>; Flanagan, Sarah <Flanagan.Sarah@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: rolling knolls question

Thanks.

From: Donovan, Betsy
Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2018 4:44 PM
To: Fajardo, Juan <Fajardo.Juan@epa.gov>; Flanagan, Sarah <Flanagan.Sarah@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: rolling knolls question

The human health risk assessment was prepared by EPA's oversight contractor. It was before my time as project manager, I think FWS reviewed it, but would have to check with the previous project manager or risk assessor to be sure. I will let you know about DOI/FWS review and the passive recreationalists question once I touch base others.

From: Fajardo, Juan
Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2018 4:40 PM
To: Flanagan, Sarah <Flanagan.Sarah@epa.gov>; Donovan, Betsy <Donovan.Betsy@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: rolling knolls question

Betsy, what do you say?

From: Flanagan, Sarah
Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2018 4:37 PM
To: Fajardo, Juan <Fajardo.Juan@epa.gov>
Subject: rolling knolls question

Ex. 5 Attorney Work Product (AWP)